THE MINISTER OF TRIBAL AFFAIRS (SHRI JUAL ORAM): (a) to (c) The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Demonstration by Staff of CPWD at Nirman Bhawan

†586. SHRI RAMA SHANKER KAUSHIK : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Non-Gazetted Office Staff Association of Central Public Works Department had organized a demonstration at Nirman Bhawan;

(b) if so, the purpose behind organizing the demonstration;

(c) whether any demand was also made to Government through this demonstration; and

(d) if so, the details of their main demands and by when Government would take a decision on their demands?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The demonstration was held to press for their various demands.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) The Association has made a set of 64 demands, which include grant of recognition, filling up of posts, creation of additional posts, etc. The demands are under examination and appropriate action is being taken in this regard in consultation with concerned Ministries & Departments.

Housing scheme for Assam

587. SHRI DWIJENDRA NATH SHARMAH : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have formulated any housing scheme through HUDCO for the State of Assam;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(c) the details of funds granted for construction of houses in urban areas of Assam during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI O. RAJAGOPAL): (a) and (b) No, Sir. However, Housing & Urban Development Corporation Ltd. (HUDCO) finances eligible and viable schemes of various housing boards and State Governments in accordance with prescribed guidelines.

(c) During the last three years and during the current year upto 31.10.2002, HUDCO has sanctioned 15 housing schemes for the urban areas of Assam worth Rs. 110.34 crore with a HUDCO loan commitment of Rs. 77.20 crore. Details of schemes sanctioned are enclosed as Statement.

Statement

HUDCO operations in Assam during 1999-2000 to 2001-2002

(Rupees in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Date of Sanction	Scheme	Project Cost	Loan Amount
1	2	3	4	5
1.	05.5.99	Construction of Business Centre-cum-market Complex	163.30	60.00
2.	27.7.99	Composite Cash Loan Housing (HBA) Scheme, Ph-II	6365.86	5000.00
3.	27.7.99	Company Owned Housing Scheme at Sangsari, Guwahati	56.66	36.00
4.	29.9.99	Composite Cash Loan Housing Scheme	13.14	7.50
5.	18.1.00	Office-cum-commercial Complex at Jorhat	283.56	145.00
6.	11.2.00	Construction of Office Building at Silchar	42.54	28.06
7.	21.3.00	Construction of Commercial Complex at Tinsukia	408.96	149.27
8.	12.8.00	Plotted Development Scheme at Juripar, Guwahati	160.51	88.50

1	2	3	4	5
9.	20.12.00	Plotted Development Scheme at Boragaon, Guwahati	531.61	405.67
10.	22.12.00	Composite Cash Loan housing Scheme under 2 Million Housing Programme at Nagaon	277.79	227.50
11.	02.1.01	Residential Land Development Scheme at Vitarsuti, Tezpur	43.87	15.69
12.	02.1.01	Residential Land Development Scheme at Bam Parbat, Tezpur	25.15	6.85
13.	24.3.01	Construction of Apartment Building at Tarapr, Silchar	298.71	150.00
14.	19.4.01	Construction of Central Block at Housing Federation Complex	652.41	400.00
15.	28.3.02	Construction of International Centre for Trade Facilities	1709.79	1000.00
	TOTAL		11033.86	7720.04

HC fines DDA for mix-up in allotment

588. SHRI B.J. PANDA:
SHRI KRIPAL PARMAR:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item captioned. "HC fines DDA for mix-up in allotment," which appeared in the Times of India, dated the 5th October, 2002;

(b) if so, the details of harassment to genuine allottees;

(c) whether this case of deliberate harassment has been enquired into by some authority;

(d) if so, the action against the guilty officials;

(e) whether the High Court has directed DDA to carry out checks of all such cases and not to ask for delayed payment charges; and